Legal Counsel.



DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP
101 South Fifth Street ^ Suite 2500 ^ Louisville, KY 40202
www.dinsmore.com

Edward T. Depp (502) 540-2347 (direct) (502) 585-2207; (502) 581-8111 (faxes) tip.depp@dinsmore.com

September 19, 2013

RECEIVED

SEP 2 0 2013

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Hon. Jeff R. Derouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort KY 40601-8294

RE: In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for a General Adjustment in Rates, Case No. 2013-00199

Dear Mr. Derouen:

With this letter we have enclosed one (1) original and eleven (11) copies of the Response of Big Rivers Electric Corporation to Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.'s Motion for Public Disclosure and Request for an Expedited Ruling.

Please return a file stamped copy in the enclosed self-addressed postage prepaid envelope.

Thank you and if you have any questions, please call me.

Sincerely,

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

Edward T. Depp

ETD/bmt

Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record

1098015v1

1 2 3	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY
4 5 6	In the Matter of:
7 8 9 10	Application of Big Rivers Electric) Corporation for a General) Case No. 2013-00199 Adjustment In Rates)
11 12 13	RESPONSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION TO KENTUCKY
14	INDUSTRIAL UTILITY CUSTOMERS, INC.'S MOTION FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE AND REQUEST FOR AN EXPEDITED RULING
15 16	1. Comes now Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers"), through counsel, and
17	hereby submits its response to Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.'s ("KIUC") Motion
18	for Public Disclosure and Request for an Expedited Ruling.
19	2. On August 19, 2013, the Kentucky Office of the Attorney General ("AG") filed
20	its Initial Requests for Information in this matter. In AG 1-158, the AG requested "copies of the
21	summary results of all Financial Model runs provided to the Big Rivers Board of Directors in the
22	period January 1, 2012 to current."
23	3. With the filing of its response to AG 1-158, Big Rivers petitioned the Kentucky
24	Public Service Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13 and KRS
25	61.878, to grant confidential treatment to an attachment to the response. That petition remains
26	pending.
27	4. On September 11, 2013, KIUC filed its Response in Opposition to the Petition of
28	Big Rivers Electric Corporation for Confidential Protection. The Commission's regulations
29	provide that "[a] party may respond to a motion for confidential treatment within seven (7) days
30	after it is filed with the commission." 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(e). KIUC filed its Response

on September 11, 2013, which was eight days after Big Rivers filed its Petition for Confidential

2 Treatment. KIUC's Response was not timely filed.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

3 5. KIUC then filed the present "Motion for Public Disclosure." Regardless of how

4 KIUC has styled the pleading, its motion is either another untimely response to Big Rivers'

5 Petition for Confidential Treatment or a transparent "end-run" around 807 KAR 5:001 Section

13(e). Big Rivers must now, once more, expend its resources to respond to an inappropriate

filing from KIUC. The pleading should be rejected for failure to comply with the Commission's

regulations, and it should be stricken from the record.

6. While not conceding the propriety of the motion, Big Rivers categorically denies the allegations contained therein. For example, KIUC alleges, "Big Rivers' practice of disclosing only a one-year sliver of rate impact information, while maintaining that all other information regarding customer rate impacts is confidential, violates the spirit and letter of these rules and regulations." Big Rivers has not claimed that customer rate impact is confidential; it has claimed that the attachment to its response to AG 1-158, which is a presentation to the Board of Directors, is confidential, as discussed in paragraph 8, below. Moreover, KIUC's statement is, at best, misleading, as it ignores the rate impact information that Big Rivers filed publicly, including but not limited to Big Rivers' response to PSC 2-10, which, unlike the relevant pages in the attachment to the response to AG 1-158, provides a clear view of the retail impact of the

7. Big Rivers also denies KIUC's inaccurate allegations that Big Rivers has previously waived a claim for confidential treatment of any of the information it seeks to protect.

The confidential attachment to Big Rivers' response to AG 1-158 is a presentation to Big Rivers'

23 Board of Directors; that presentation contains a summary of the outputs of the Big Rivers

reserve fund depletion on Rural and Large Industrial customers.

¹ KIUC Motion for Public Disclosure at pp. 3-4.

- Financial Model. The Commission has previously granted confidential treatment to this type of
- 2 information. See, e.g., April 25, 2013, Order in P.S.C. Case No. 2012-00535 (granting
- 3 confidential treatment to minutes of the Big Rivers Board of Directors meetings and Big Rivers'
- 4 Financial Model).
- 5 8. For the reasons stated in Big Rivers' Petition for Confidential Treatment and its
- 6 reply to KIUC's untimely September 11, 2013 response, the attachment produced in response to
- 7 AG 1-158 is entitled to confidential treatment. Information such as this, which bears upon a
- 8 company's detailed inner workings, is generally recognized as confidential or proprietary. See,
- 9 e.g., Hoy v. Kentucky Indus. Revitalization Authority, 907 S.W.2d 766, 768 (Ky. 1995) ("It does
- 10 not take a degree in finance to recognize that such information concerning the inner workings of
- a corporation is 'generally recognized as confidential or proprietary'"); In the Matter of: The Joint
- 12 Application of Duke Energy Corp., Cinergy Corp., Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Duke Energy
- 13 Kentucky, Inc., Diamond Acquisition Corp., and Progress Energy, Inc., for Approval of the
- 14 Indirect Transfer of Control of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., P.S.C Case No. 2011-00124 (Dec. 5,
- 15 2011); In the Matter of: The Joint Petition of Kentucky-American Water Co., Thames Water
- 16 Aqua Holdings GmbH, RWE Aktiengesellschaft, Thames Water Aqua U.S. Holdings, Inc., and
- 17 Am. Water Works Co., Inc. for Approval of a Change in Control of Kentucky-American Water
- 18 Co., P.S.C. Case No. 2006-00197 (Aug. 29, 2006) (holding that reports from the joint applicants'
- 19 financial advisors and all board of director minutes and information is confidential because
- 20 competitors could use it to gain unfair competitive advantage). The Commission presumably
- 21 had a reason for amending its regulations on January 4, 2013, to reduce time for response to a
- 22 petition for confidential treatment from ten days to seven days. If that change is to have any
- 23 meaning, parties should be required to observe the rule.

1	9. Nevertheless, while Big Rivers has the right to seek confidential protection for
2	internal presentations to its Board of Directors, in this limited instance and without waiving or
3	prejudicing any other claims of confidentiality, Big Rivers will not oppose public disclosure of
4	pages 11 and 12 of the Attachment for the Response to AG 1-158. (See attached.)
5	10. Big Rivers requests that the Commission strike KIUC's motion as untimely and
6	direct KIUC to, in the future, comply with the Commission's regulations like all other applicants
7	and parties are required to do so that Big Rivers is not forced to expend its limited resources to
8	respond to, and the Commission is not forced to expend its limited resources to consider,
9	untimely or otherwise improper pleadings.
10	On this the 19 th day of September, 2013.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	James M. Miller Tyson Kamuf SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK & MILLER, P.S.C. 100 St. Ann Street P. O. Box 727 Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727 Phone: (270) 926-4000 Facsimile: (270) 683-6694 jmiller@smsmlaw.com tkamuf@smsmlaw.com Edward T. Depp DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP 101 South Fifth Street Suite 2500 Louisville, KY 40202 Phone: (502) 540-2347 Facsimile: (502) 585-2207 tip.depp@dinsmore.com Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation

1	Certificate of Service
2	
3	I certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was served by Federal Express or
4	by regular mail upon the persons listed on the attached service list, on or before the date this
5	response is filed with the Kentucky Public Service Commission.
6	al.
7	On this the 19 th day of September, 2013,
8	
9	
10	
11	Edward T. Depp
12	O
13	1097748v2
14	

Service List PSC Case No. 2013-00199

Jennifer B. Hans Lawrence W. Cook Assistant Attorneys General 1024 Capital Center Dr. Suite 200 Frankfort, KY 40601

Mr. David Brevitz 3623 SW Woodvalley Terrace Topeka, KS 66614

Mr. Bion C. Ostrander 1121 S.W. Chetopa Trail Topeka, KS 66615

Mr. Larry Holloway 830 Romine Ridge Osage City, KS 66523

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. Kurt J. Boehm, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 E. Seventh St., Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

G. Kelly Nuckols President and CEO Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation 2900 Irvin Cobb Drive P.O. Box 4030 Paducah, KY 42002-4030

Melissa D. Yates Denton & Keuler, LLP 555 Jefferson Street Suite 301 Paducah, KY 42001

Burns Mercer Meade County RECC 1351 Hwy. 79 P.O. Box 489 Brandenburg, Kentucky 40108 Thomas C. Brite, Esq. Brite & Hopkins, PLLC 83 Ballpark Road Hardinsburg, KY 40143

Gregory Starheim
President & CEO
Kenergy Corp.
3111 Fairview Drive
P.O. Box 1389
Owensboro, KY 42302-1389

J. Christopher Hopgood, Esq. . 318 Second Street Henderson, Kentucky 42420

Joe Childers
Joe F. Childers & Associates
300 Lexington Building
201 West Short Street
Lexington, Kentucky 40507

Shannon Fisk Senior Attorney Earthjustice 1617 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1675 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Thomas Cmar Earthjustice 5042 N. Leavitt Street, Suite 1 Chicago, IL 60625

Kristin Henry Staff Attorney Sierra Club 85 Second Street San Francisco, CA 94105 David O'Brien Suetholz Neal B. Hayes Kircher Suetholz & Grayson PSC 515 Park Avenue Louisville, KY 40208